Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Sudeshna Chakravarty..... Designation .....Professor.....

Department: . Civil & Environmental Engg....Programme: …M.E.

Semester: ……I…….. Session: …MO 17…

Course Code: MCE1117 Course Title Dynamics of Soils & Foundation Engg.……

Number of times taught: …2……...

Mobile: .9431392794... E-mail: ....sc_108@yahoo.co.in....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments: NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Scanned by CamScanner

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Sudeshna Chakravarty..... Designation .....Professor.....

Department: . Civil & Environmental Engg....Programme: …B.E.

Semester: ……V…….. Session: MO 17…

Course Code: …CE5004 Course Title …Specification, Estimation & Costing…….

Number of times taught: …2……...

Mobile: .9431392794... E-mail: ....sc_108@yahoo.co.in....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

……

……

……

……

……

……

Any other specific comments: NO

……

……

……

……

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Scanned by CamScanner

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Sudeshna Chakravarty..... Designation .....Professor.....

Department: . Civil & Environmental Engg....Programme: …B.E.

Semester: ……VII…….. Session: MO17……

Course Code: …CE7023 Course Title …Geotechnical Engg……

Number of times taught: …1……...

Mobile: .9431392794... E-mail: ....sc_108@yahoo.co.in....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

……

……

……

……

……

……

Any other specific comments: NO

……

……

……

……

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Scanned by CamScanner

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Sudeshna Chakravarty..... Designation .....Professor.....

Department: . Civil & Environmental Engg....Programme: …B.Arch

Semester: ……VI…….. Session: SP18……

Course Code: …CE6309 Course Title …Steel Structures.…….

Number of times taught: …0……...

Mobile: .9431392794... E-mail: ....sc_108@yahoo.co.in....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

……

……

……

……

……

……

Any other specific comments: NO

……

……

……

……



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Scanned by CamScanner

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Sudeshna Chakravarty..... Designation .....Professor.....

Department: . Civil & Environmental Engg....Programme: …B.Arch.

Semester: ……VI…….. Session: …SP18…

Course Code: …CE6308 Course Title …Structural Design (Steel)…….

Number of times taught: …0……...

Mobile: .9431392794... E-mail: ....sc_108@yahoo.co.in....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

……

……

……

……

……

……

Any other specific comments: NO

……

……

……

……

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Scanned by CamScanner

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Sudeshna Chakravarty..... Designation .....Professor.....

Department: . Civil & Environmental Engg....Programme: …M.E.

Semester: ……II…….. Session: …SP18…

Course Code: …MCE2102 Course Title Geotechnical Engg.Design Sessional……

Number of times taught: …1……...

Mobile: .9431392794... E-mail: ....sc_108@yahoo.co.in....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

……

……

……

……

……

……

Any other specific comments: NO

……

……

……

……

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Scanned by CamScanner

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Sudeshna Chakravarty..... Designation .....Professor.....

Department: . Civil & Environmental Engg....Programme: …B.E.

Semester: ……VI…….. Session: …SP18…

Course Code: …CE6007 Course Title …Geotechnical Engg.II……

Number of times taught: …2……...

Mobile: .9431392794... E-mail: ....sc_108@yahoo.co.in....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

……

……

……

……

……

……

Any other specific comments: NO

……

……

……

……

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Scanned by CamScanner